



Sh. Mahinder Singh, S/o. Sh Jagir Singh,
R/o Village Baaj Singh Wala,
Dakhli Talli Saida Sahoo,
Tehsil & Distt Ferozepur.

... Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o Tehsildar,
Ferozepur.

First Appellate Authority,
O/o SDM,
Ferozepur.

...Respondent

Appeal Case No. 521 of 2021

PRESENT: None for the Appellant
None for the Respondent

ORDER:

The appellant through RTI application dated 23.09.2020 has sought information regarding the assessment of compensation provided in the year 2019 for the year 2016-17 and 2017-18 by Patwar Circle Mastake and other information as enumerated in the RTI application from the office of DC Ferozepur which transferred the RTI application to Tehsildar Ferozepur. The appellant was not provided with the information after which the appellant filed the first appeal before the First Appellate Authority on 24.10.2020 which took no decision on the appeal.

The case first came up for hearing on 31.03.2021 through video conferencing at DAC Ferozepur. As per counsel for the appellant, the PIO asked the appellant to specify the information whereas the appellant wanted the entire record.

The respondent was absent. The PIO was directed to provide information to the appellant as per the RTI application and send a compliance report to the commission.

On the date of the next hearing on **28.06.2021**, both the parties were again absent.

Since there has been an enormous delay of more than nine months in providing the information and the respondent was absent on 2nd consecutive hearing nor has complied with the order of the Commission, the PIO was issued a **show-cause notice under Section 20 of the RTI Act 2005 and directed to file reply on an affidavit.**

The PIO-Tehsildar, Ferozepur was again directed to provide the information within ten days of the receipt of the order and send a compliance report to the Commission.

On the date of the hearing on **25.08.2021**, **Sh.Lakhwinder** Singh Tehsildar Ferozepur was present and pleaded that the information has already been provided to the appellant vide letter dated 09.12.2020.

The respondent also sent a reply to the show cause through email which was taken on the file of the Commission. In the reply, the PIO mentioned that he could not attend the hearing due to their deployment in the second wave of the corona epidemic.

The appellant was absent.

Appeal Case No. 521 of 2021

As per the respondent, the information was provided on 09.12.2020. However, having gone through the record, it was observed that the appellant had filed an appeal case on 21.01.2021 and on the date of the first hearing on 31.03.2021, Ms.Shweta, the advocate who appeared on behalf of the appellant informed that no information was provided by the PIO but her client was merely asked to specify the information whereas the appellant wanted the entire record.

The PIO was directed to resend the information to the appellant as per the RTI application with a copy to the Commission, as well as clarify the above contention of the appellant's counsel.

The decision on show cause was to be taken on the next date of hearing.

On the date of last hearing on **01.12.2021**, both the parties were absent. The case was adjourned.

Hearing dated 04.04.2022:

The case has come up for hearing today through video conferencing at DAC Ferozepur. The respondent is absent.

The appellant is absent on 4th consecutive hearings nor is represented.

The case is **disposed of and closed** for non-pursuance of the case by the appellant.

Chandigarh
Dated :04.04.2022

Sd/-
(Khushwant Singh)
State Information Commissioner



Sh Hansa Singh, S/o Sh Machhi Singh,
R/o Village Shamshabad,
Tehsil & Distt Fazilka.

... Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o District Manager,
PUNSUP, Ferozepur.

First Appellate Authority,
O/o District Manager,
PUNSUP, Ferozepur.

...Respondent

Appeal Case No. 3149 of 2021

PRESENT: None for the Appellant
Sh.Davinder Kumar, PIO for the Respondent

ORDER:

The appellant, through an RTI application dated 25.03.2021, has sought information on 06 points copy of auction purchase, lifting and payment related report of all mandis for the year 2018-19 (01.10.2018 to 30.11.2018) – a copy of release order to M/s Sunrise Food Products Zira for paddy in 2018-19 – supply of paddy to M/s Sunrise Food Products from other mandis – a copy of receipts by concerned in charge and other information as enumerated in the RTI application from the office of District Manager, PUNSUP Ferozepur. The appellant was not provided with the information, after which the appellant filed a first appeal before the first appellate authority on 05.05.2021 which did not decide on the appeal.

The case first came up for hearing on 31.01.2022 through video conferencing at DAC Ferozepur. The respondent present informed that the information has been provided to the appellant.

The appellant claimed that the PIO has not supplied the information on point-1 & 6.

As per the respondent, the information regarding point-1 is available online.

Having gone through the RTI application and hearing both the parties, the PIO was directed to provide information on point-1 if it exists in the record. The PIO was also directed to provide information on point-6.

Hearing dated 04.04.2022:

The case has come up for hearing today through video conferencing at DAC Ferozepur. The respondent present pleaded that the information has been provided to the appellant.

The appellant is absent and vide email has informed that he has received the information.

Since the information has been provided, no further course of action is required. The case is **disposed of and closed**.

Chandigarh
Dated :04.04.2022

Sd/-
(Khushwant Singh)
State Information Commissioner



Sh Lajpat Rai, S/o Sh Harbans Lal,
R/o Roamana Street, Tehsil Jaito,
Distt Faridkot.

... Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o EO, Nagar Council,
Jaito, Distt Faridkot.

First Appellate Authority,
O/o Regional Deputy Director,
Local Bodies, Ferozepur cantt.

...Respondent

Appeal Case No. 2149 of 2021

PRESENT: Sh.Lajpat Rai as the Appellant
Sh.Gurdas Singh, PIO for the Respondent

ORDER:

The appellant through an RTI application dated 24.12.2020 has sought information regarding a copy of orders/letters received from State Information Commission and DDLG Feerozepur/Bathinda/Chandigarh as per diary register from 01.12.2020 to 31.12.2020 alongwith notings – pen drive collecting the property tax, full files of property transfer, MC shops rent from 01.12.2020 to 31.12.2020 and list of defaulters – map files and applicant deposit file for passing maps Harpal singh dated 12.04.2016, Roshan Lal 29.02.2003, Gian Chand 27.06.2002, and others and other information as enumerated in the RTI application concerning the EO NC Jaitu. The appellant was not provided the information after which the appellant filed a first appeal before the First Appellate Authority on 29.01.2021 which took no decision on the appeal.

The case first came up for hearing on 24.11.2021 through video conferencing at DAC Faridkot. The appellant claimed that the PIO has not supplied the information.

The respondent was absent nor provided the information.

There has been an enormous delay of more than eleven months in attending to the RTI application. The PIO was directed to send a point-wise reply to the appellant with a copy to the Commission and appear before the Commission on the next date of hearing along with the explanation for not attending to the RTI application within the time prescribed under the RTI Act.

Hearing dated 04.04.2022:

The case has come up for hearing today through video conferencing at DAC Faridkot. As per the appellant, the PIO has not supplied the information.

The respondent has brought the information. The PIO is directed to hand over the same to the appellant.

The appellant is directed to point out the discrepancies to the PIO with a copy to the Commission, and the PIO is directed to sort out the same and send a compliance report to the Commission.

With the above order, the case is **disposed of and closed.**

Chandigarh
Dated :04.04.2022

Sd/-
(Khushwant Singh)
State Information Commissioner



Sh Lajpat Rai, S/o Sh Harbans Lal,
R/o Roamana Street, Tehsil Jaito,
Distt Faridkot.

... Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o Tehsildar, Jaito,
Distt Faridkot.

First Appellate Authority,
O/o SDM, Jaito,
Distt Faridkot.

...Respondent

Appeal Case No. 2154 of 2021

PRESENT: Sh.Lajpat Rai as the Appellant
Sh.Kamaldeep Singh, Naib Tehsildar, Jaito for the Respondent

ORDER:

The appellant through an RTI application dated 21.11.2020 has sought information on 08 points regarding a copy of vasika full record of MC Jaitu TS-1 and certificate of old registration deeds etc, on what behalf the new vasika done given No.vasika 2018-19-39/1/83 dt.06.08.2018 (letter No.191)/6/8/19, wrote to DC complaint copy 30.07.18 office notice and proceeding done and vasika No.3306 dt.08.2.13 and other information as enumerated in the RTI application concerning the office of Tehsildar Jaitu, Dist.Faridkot. The appellant was not provided with the information after which the appellant filed a first appeal before the First Appellate Authority on 20.01.2021 which took no decision on the appeal.

The case first came up for hearing on 24.11.2021 through video conferencing at DAC Faridkot. The appellant claimed that the PIO has not supplied the information.

As per the respondent, the RTI application was not received in their office. The Commission also received a reply from the PIO dated 22.11.2021 stating that as per record, the RTI application dated 21.11.2020 was not received in their office.

Having gone through the record, the Commission observed that the copy of the RTI application with the commission is not legible. The appellant was directed to send a typed legible copy of the RTI application to the Commission with a copy to the PIO.

The PIO was directed to provide information to the appellant within 30 days of the receipt of the order with a copy to the Commission.

Hearing dated 04.04.2022:

The case has come up for hearing today through video conferencing at DAC Faridkot. The respondent present pleaded that the information has been provided to the appellant.

The appellant has received the information and is satisfied.

Since the information has been provided, no further course of action is required. The case is **disposed of and closed**.

Chandigarh
Dated: 04.04.2022

Sd/-
(Khushwant Singh)
State Information Commission

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION
Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Sector 16, Chandigarh.
Ph: 0172-2864114, Email: - psicsic30@punjabmail.gov.in
Visit us: - www.infocompunjab.com



Sh Lajpat Rai, S/o Sh Harbans Lal,
R/o Roamana Street, Tehsil Jaito,
Distt Faridkot.

... Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o EO, MC,
Jaito, Distt Faridkot.

First Appellate Authority,
O/o Regional Deputy Director,
Local Govt, Ferozepur.

...Respondent

Appeal Case No. 2166 of 2021

PRESENT: **Sh.Lajpat Rai as the Appellant**
 Sh.Gurdas Singh PIO for the Respondent

ORDER:

The appellant through an RTI application dated 13.02.2021 has sought information on 11 points regarding the list of employees recruited from Feb.2000 along with a copy of the advertisement, on compassionate ground, contractual, copy of the resolution passed by Deputy Director and other information as enumerated in the RTI application concerning the office of EO-NC Jaito. The appellant was not provided with the information after which the appellant filed a first appeal before the First Appellate Authority on 16.03.2021 which took no decision on the appeal.

The case first came up for hearing on 24.11.2021 through video conferencing at DAC Faridkot. The appellant claimed that the PIO has not supplied the information.

The respondent was absent nor had not provided the information.

The copy of the RTI application with the commission was not legible. The appellant was directed to send a typed legible copy of the RTI application to the Commission with a copy to the PIO.

The PIO was directed to send a point-wise reply to the appellant with a copy to the Commission and appear before the Commission on the next date of hearing alongwith the explanation for not attending to the RTI application within the time prescribed under the RTI Act.

Hearing dated 04.04.2022:

The case has come up for hearing today through video conferencing at DAC Faridkot. As per appellant, the PIO has not supplied the information.

The respondent has brought the information. The PIO is directed to hand over the same to the appellant.

The appellant is directed to point out the discrepancies if any to the PIO with a copy to the Commission and the PIO is directed to sort out the same and send a compliance report to the Commission.

With the above order, the case is **disposed of and closed.**

Chandigarh
Dated :04.04.2022

Sd/-
(Khushwant Singh)
State Information Commissioner



Sh Lajpat Rai, S/o Sh Harbans Lal,
R/o Roamana Street, Tehsil Jaito,
Distt Faridkot.

... Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o EO, MC,
Jaito, Distt Faridkot.

First Appellate Authority,
O/o Regional Deputy Director,
Local Govt, Ferozepur.

...Respondent

Appeal Case No. 2167 of 2021

PRESENT: Sh.Lajpat Rai as the Appellant
Sh.Gurdas Singh, PIO for the Respondent

ORDER:

The appellant through an RTI application dated 13.02.2021 has sought information on 10 points regarding educational certificates of Ramesh Kumar, PremChand, Kaushal Sharma along with duty list and action taken on the FIR and other information as enumerated in the RTI application concerning the office of EO-NC Jaito. The appellant was not provided the information after which the appellant filed a first appeal before the First Appellate Authority on 16.03.2021 which took no decision on the appeal.

The case first came up for hearing on 24.11.2021 through video conferencing at DAC Faridkot. The appellant claimed that the PIO has not supplied the information.

The respondent was absent nor had provided the information.

The copy of the RTI application with the commission was not legible. The appellant was directed to send a typed legible copy of the RTI application to the Commission with a copy to the PIO.

The PIO was directed to send a point-wise reply to the appellant with a copy to the Commission and appear before the Commission on the next date of hearing alongwith the explanation for not attending to the RTI application within the time prescribed under the RTI Act.

Hearing dated 04.04.2022:

The case has come up for hearing today through video conferencing at DAC Faridkot. As per appellant, the PIO has not supplied the information.

The respondent has brought the information. The PIO is directed to hand over the same to the appellant.

The appellant is directed to point out the discrepancies if any to the PIO with a copy to the Commission and the PIO is directed to sort out the same and send a compliance report to the Commission.

With the above order, the case is **disposed of and closed**.

Chandigarh
Dated :04.04.2022

Sd/-
(Khushwant Singh)
State Information Commissioner



Sh Lajpat Rai, S/o Sh Harbans Lal,
R/o Roamana Street, Tehsil Jaito,
Distt Faridkot.

... Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o EO, MC,
Jaito, Distt Faridkot.

First Appellate Authority,
O/o Regional Deputy Director,
Local Govt, Ferozepur.

...Respondent

Appeal Case No. 2168 of 2021

PRESENT: Sh.Lajpat Rai as the Appellant
Sh.Gurdas Singh PIO for the Respondent

ORDER:

The appellant through an RTI application dated 13.02.2021 has sought information on 06 points regarding criminal cases against employees Sh.Ramesh Kumar, Kaushal Sharma and other information as enumerated in the RTI application concerning the office of EO-MC Jaito. The appellant was not provided with the information after which the appellant filed a first appeal before the First Appellate Authority on 16.03.2021 which took no decision on the appeal.

The case first came up for hearing on 24.11.2021 through video conferencing at DAC Faridkot. The appellant claimed that the PIO has not supplied the information.

The respondent was absent nor had provided the information.

The copy of the RTI application with the commission was not legible. The appellant was directed to send a typed legible copy of the RTI application to the Commission with a copy to the PIO.

The PIO was directed to send a point-wise reply to the appellant with a copy to the Commission and appear before the Commission on the next date of hearing alongwith the explanation for not attending to the RTI application within the time prescribed under the RTI Act.

Hearing dated 04.04.2022:

The case has come up for hearing today through video conferencing at DAC Faridkot. As per appellant, the PIO has not supplied the information.

The respondent has brought the information. The PIO is directed to hand over the same to the appellant.

The appellant is directed to point out the discrepancies if any to the PIO with a copy to the Commission and the PIO is directed to sort out the same and send a compliance report to the Commission.

With the above order, the case is **disposed of and closed.**

Chandigarh
Dated :04.04.2022

Sd/-
(Khushwant Singh)
State Information Commissioner

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION
Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Sector 16, Chandigarh.
Ph: 0172-2864114, Email: - psicsic30@punjabmail.gov.in
Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



Sh Lajpat Rai, S/o Sh Harbans Lal,
R/o Roamana Street, Tehsil Jaito,
Distt Faridkot.

... Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o EO, MC,
Jaito, Distt Faridkot.

First Appellate Authority,
O/o Regional Deputy Director,
Local Govt, Ferozepur.

...Respondent

Appeal Case No. 2169 of 2021

PRESENT: Sh.Lajpat Rai as the Appellant
Sh.Gurdas Singh, PIO for the Respondent

ORDER:

The appellant through an RTI application dated 13.02.2021 has sought information on 12 points regarding action taken on the Ramesh Kumar, Prem Chand Davinder Kumar, Surinder Singh for misplacing the property tax record of their branch as well as other branches and other information as enumerated in the RTI application concerning the office of EO-NC Jaito. The appellant was not provided with the information after which the appellant filed a first appeal before the First Appellate Authority on 16.03.2021 which took no decision on the appeal.

The case first come up for hearing on 24.11.2021 through video conferencing at DAC Faridkot. The appellant claimed that the PIO has not supplied the information.

The respondent was absent nor had provided the information.

The copy of the RTI application with the commission was not legible. The appellant was directed to send a typed legible copy of the RTI application to the Commission with a copy to the PIO.

The PIO was directed to send a point-wise reply to the appellant with a copy to the Commission and appear before the Commission on the next date of hearing along with the explanation for not attending to the RTI application within the time prescribed under the RTI Act.

Since the PIO, despite various notices/orders of the Commission was not appearing before the commission for hearing in most of the cases, a copy of the order was sent to the ADC(UD), Faridkot with the direction to ensure that the PIO-EO/MC Jaito to appear and provide the information.

Hearing dated 04.04.2022:

The case has come up for hearing today through video conferencing at DAC Faridkot. As per the appellant, the PIO has not supplied the information.

The respondent has brought the information. The PIO is directed to hand over the same to the appellant.

The appellant is directed to point out the discrepancies to the PIO with a copy to the Commission. The PIO is directed to sort out the same and send a compliance report to the Commission.

With the above order, the case is **disposed of and closed**.

Chandigarh
Dated :04.04.2022

Sd/-
(Khushwant Singh)
State Information Commissioner



Sh Daljit Singh,
Chamber nO-408, District Courts Ferozepur,
R/o Village Basti Wakilan Wali,
Tehsil & Distt Ferozepur.

... Complainant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o Civil Surgeon,
Ferozepur.

...Respondent

Complaint Case No. 819 of 2021

PRESENT: None for the Appellant
Sh.Pawan Kumar, Pharmacy Officer for the Respondent

ORDER:

The complainant through an RTI application dated 19.03.2021 has sought information regarding medicines and medical general products purchased from 01.01.2020 to 28.02.2021 – the name of supplier – availability at Head office or not – a copy of bills and other information as enumerated in the RTI application from the office of Civil Surgeon, Ferozepur. The complainant was not provided with the information, after which the complainant filed a complaint in the Commission on 28.06.2021.

The case last came up for hearing on 31.01.2022 through video conferencing at DAC Ferozepur. Both the parties were absent. The case was adjourned.

Hearing dated 04.04.2022:

The case has come up for hearing today through video conferencing at DAC Ferozepur. The respondent present pleaded that the reply has already been sent to the complainant vide letter dated 20.05.2021 and again on 21.12.2021.

The complainant is absent on 2nd consecutive hearing nor is represented.

The case **is disposed of and closed** for non-pursuance of the case by the complainant.

Chandigarh
Dated: 04.04.2022

Sd/-
(Khushwant Singh)
State Information Commissioner